Nudging to Privacy

The "Privacy by Default" approach in relation to Social Networking Sites

Max- R. Ulbricht

Computers & Society Technical University Berlin



March 20, 2013

PRIVACY ISSUES IN SOCIAL NETWORKING SITES 1

Privacy issues in SNS

• Problem 1:

Default settings



"We are building Facebook to make the world more open and transparent"

(facebook.com/principles.php)













PRIVACY BY DEFAULT

Origin / Idea

Privacy by Design:

• Development of systems with "built-in" privacy (for more details see (Cavoukian, 2009b))

Privacy by Default:

• Part of Privacy by Design:

"No action is required on the part of the individual to protect their privacy – it is built into the system, by default."(Cavoukian, 2009a)

DATA PROTECTION BY DESIGN & DEFAULT IN THE PROPOSED GENERAL DATA PROTECTION REGULATION

Article 23: data protection by design and default

1. [...] the controller shall, [...], implement appropriate technical and organisational measures and procedures in such a way that the processing will meet the requirements of this Regulation and ensure the protection of the rights of the data subject.

Article 23: data protection by design and default

2. The controller shall implement mechanisms for ensuring that, [...] by default personal data are not made accessible to an indefinite number of individuals.

Problem:

There can't be an appropriate default setting that reflects ALL the different requirements a user of SNS can have (communication, self-presentation, identity-management, ...)

PRIVACY ISSUES IN SOCIAL NETWORKING SITES 2

Privacy issues in SNS

- Problem 2:
 - User interfaces for privacy settings
 - **Confusing** (Brandtzæg, Lüders, & Skjetne, 2010)
 - difficult to find and hard to understand (Hull, Lipford, & Latulipe, 2011)
 - Only 37 % of the settings actually match the expectations of the user (Liu, Gummadi, Krishnamurthy, & Mislove, 2011)

PRIVACY THROUGH "NO DEFAULTS" ?

Privacy through NO defaults

Recap:

- There can't be an appropriate default setting that reflects ALL the highly diverse requirements a user of SNS can have (communication, self-presentation, identity-management, ...)
- The majority of users have problems to change the default settings through the given interfaces

Privacy through NO defaults

Idea:

No default settings → the system has to ask the user who should get access to newly entered data before storing

→ Explicit consent

FREEDOM OF CHOICE BY DEFAULT

Concept

- Initial state: no default accessibility setting exists for personal data in SNS
- The system transparently shows the the user all options, gives him the instruments for setting up his decision and stores his newly entered data according to his choice

Concept

Every time a user enters new data (profile information, status updates, fotos, ...) the system shows him his options and forces an explicit decission before storing



Benefits:

- The user doesn't have to deal with an interface to manage his default privacy settings
- The user knows who has access to his data
- The platform provider has the users explicit consent to store the users data

Criticism 1:

Costs:

- On users side: in best case only one click more on the interface
- On provider side: small, because all necessary procedures are already implemented, only small modifications needed to make the system "forget" all default accessibility settings

ightarrow Costs are negligible on both sides

Criticism 2:

The concept is too paternalistic:

• Forces users to a decision without knowing whether they actually want to do so

 \rightarrow The concept is only *softly paternalistic*, because it gives the users the freedom of choice, better information to match their expectations and let them choose on their own freewill

Theoretical background:

Libertarian Paternalism:

- Preserves the freedom of choice but at the same time authorizes (public or private) institutions to route individuals in directions that will promote their welfare (Thaler & Sunstein, 2003)
- Leads to better decisions at all and in the consequence to a better welfare

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

The proposed concept of *freedom of choice by default* seems to be a valuable extension of the "Data Protection by Design & Default" rules of the Proposal for a General Data Protection Regulation in the special case of SNS.



References

- Brandtzæg, P. B., Lüders, M., & Skjetne, J. H. (2010). Too Many Facebook "Friends"? Content Sharing and Sociability Versus the Need for Privacy in Social Network Sites. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 26(11-12), 1006–1030. doi:10.1080/10447318.2010.516719
- Cavoukian, A. (2009a). *Privacy by Design The 7 Foundational Principles*. *Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario*. Retrieved from http://www.privacybydesign.ca/index.php/about-pbd/7-foundational-principles/
- Cavoukian, A. (2009b). *Privacy by design take the challenge. Report of the Information & Privacy Commissioner Ontario, Canada.* Retrieved from <u>http://www.privacybydesign.ca/index.php/paper/pbd-book/</u>
- Hull, G., Lipford, H., & Latulipe, C. (2011). Contextual Gaps: Privacy Issues on Facebook. *Ethics and information technology*, 13(4), 289–302.
- Liu, Y., Gummadi, K. P., Krishnamurthy, B., & Mislove, A. (2011). Analyzing Facebook privacy settings: User expectations vs. reality. *Proceedings of the 2011 ACM SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement conference* (pp. 61–70).

McKeon, M. (2010). The Evolution of Privacy on Facebook. Retrieved from http://mattmckeon.com/facebook-privacy/

Thaler, R., & Sunstein, C. (2003). Libertarian Paternalism. *The American Economic Review*, 93(2), 174–179.